**GORDON** 

Appl. No. 10/042,169

November 22, 2004

Surely this should be sufficient to provide a fully responsive pleading – and it is certainly

sufficient insofar as the undersigned's past experience with dealing in these matters is concerned.

It appears that the objection to the July 13, 2004 communication has been issued in error.

In any event, if it is not already clear from the above and prior record, applicant believes

that new claims 32-35 distinguish over the prior art of record (when considered as a whole in

view of parent claims) because they respectively add to the claimed combination of features the

following points:

4

The dispensing controller is operative to determine times at least partially based

upon an input related to biological information as defined in the parent claim.

• The apparatus further includes a communication unit.

• The communication unit is a wireless communication unit.

The communication unit includes an animal location functionality.

If there is any remaining concern that the applicant has failed to point out the alleged

distinctions over the prior art with respect to the new claims, it is respectfully requested that the

undersigned by telephoned so as to avoid any further unnecessary delay in the prosecution of this

application.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

LSN:vc

1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor

Arlington, VA 22201-4714

Telephone: (703) 816-4000

Facsimile: (703) 816-4100